

ABSTRACT

The aim of this paper is to introduce and study two new classes of spaces, namely Rw-normal and rw-regular spaces and obtained their properties by utilizing rw-closed sets.

KEYWORDS: Rw- closed set, Rw-continuous function, Rw-Separation axioms.

I. INTRODUCTION

Maheshwari and Prasad[8] introduced the new class of spaces called s-normal spaces using semi-open sets. It was further studied by Noiri and Popa[10], Dorsett[6] and Arya[1]. Munshi[9], introduced g-regular and g-normal spaces using g-closed sets of Levine[7]. Later, Benchalli et al [3] and Shik John[12] studied the concept of g^* -preregular, g^* -pre normal and w-normal, w-regular spaces in topological spaces. Recently, Benchalli et al [2,11] introduced and studied the properties of rw-closed sets and rw-continuous functions.

II. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper space (X, τ) and (Y, σ) (or simply X and Y) always denote topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. For a subset A of a space X , $Cl(A)$, $Int(A)$, A^c , and α - $Cl(A)$, denote the Closure of A , Interior of A and Compliment of A and α -closure of A in X respectively.

Definition 2.1: A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called

- i. W-closed set [12] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is semi-open in X .
- ii. Generalized closed set (briefly g-closed) [7] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .

Definition 2.2 : A topological space X is said to be a

1. g-regular [10], if for each g-closed set F of X and each point $x \notin F$, there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$.
2. α -regular [4], if for each α -closed set F of X and each point $x \notin F$, there exists disjoint α -open sets U and V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$.
3. w-regular [12], if for each closed set F of X and each point $x \notin F$, there exists disjoint w-open sets U and V such that $F \subseteq U$ and $x \in V$.

Definition 2.3. A topological space X is said to be a

1. g-normal [10], if for any pair of disjoint g-closed sets A and B , there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.
2. α -normal [4], if for any pair of disjoint α -closed sets A and B , there exists disjoint α -open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.
3. w-normal [12], if for any pair of disjoint w-closed sets A and B , there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.



Definition 2.4: [2] A topological space X is called T_{rw} - space if every rw -closed set in it is closed set.

Definition 2.5: A map $f: (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is said to be

- i. rw -continuous map [11] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a rw -closed set of (X, τ) for every closed set V of (Y, τ) .
- ii. rw -irresolute map [11] if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a rw -closed set of (X, τ) for every rw -closed set V of (Y, τ) .

III. RW -REGULAR SPACES

In this section, we introduce a new class of spaces called rw -regular spaces using Rw -closed sets and obtain some of their characterizations.

Definition 3.1. A topological space X is said to be rw -regular if for each rw closed set F and a point $x \notin F$, there exist disjoint open sets G and H such that $F \subseteq G$ and $x \in H$.

We have the following interrelationship between rw -regularity and regularity.

Theorem 3.2. Every rw -regular space is regular.

Proof: Let X be a rw -regular space. Let F be any closed set in X and a point $x \notin F$. By [2], F is rw -closed and $x \notin F$. Since X is a rw -regular space, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets G and H such that $F \subseteq G$ and $x \in H$. Hence X is a regular space.

Remark 3.3. If X is a regular space and T_{rw} space, then X is rw regular We have the following characterization.

Theorem 3.4. The following statements are equivalent for a topological space X

- (i) X is a rw regular space
- (ii) For each $x \in X$ and each rw -open neighbourhood U of x there exists an open neighbourhood N of x such that $cl(N) \subseteq U$.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): Suppose X is a rw regular space. Let U be any rw neighbourhood of x . Then there exists rw open set G such that $x \in G \subseteq U$. Now $X - G$ is rw closed set and $x \notin X - G$. Since X is rw regular, there exist open sets M and N such that $X - G \subseteq M$, $x \in N$ and $M \cap N = \emptyset$ and so $N \subseteq X - M$. Now $cl(N) \subseteq cl(X - M) = X - M$ and $X - M \subseteq M$. This implies $X - M \subseteq U$. Therefore $cl(N) \subseteq U$.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let F be any rw closed set in X and $x \in X - F$ and $X - F$ is a Rw -open and so $X - F$ is a rw -neighbourhood of x . By hypothesis, there exists an open neighbourhood N of x such that $x \in N$ and $cl(N) \subseteq X - F$. This implies $F \subseteq X - cl(N)$ is an open set containing F and $N \cap (X - cl(N)) = \emptyset$. Hence X is rw -regular space.

We have another characterization of rw -regularity in the following.

Theorem 3.5: A topological space X is rw -regular if and only if for each rw -closed set F of X and each $x \in X - F$ there exist open sets G and H of X such that $x \in G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $cl(G) \cap cl(H) = \emptyset$.

Proof: Suppose X is rw -regular space. Let F be a rw -closed set in X with $x \notin F$. Then there exists open sets M and H of X such that $x \in M$, $F \subseteq H$ and $M \cap H = \emptyset$. This implies $M \cap cl(H) = \emptyset$. As X is rw -regular, there exist open sets U and V such that $x \in U$, $cl(H) \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. so $cl(U) \cap V = \emptyset$. Let $G = M \cap U$, then G and H are open sets of X such that $x \in G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $cl(G) \cap cl(H) = \emptyset$.

Conversely, if for each rw -closed set F of X and each $x \in X - F$ there exists open sets G and H such that $x \in G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $cl(G) \cap cl(H) = \emptyset$. This implies $x \in G$, $F \subseteq H$ and $G \cap H = \emptyset$. Hence X is rw -regular.

Now we prove that rw -regularity is a hereditary property.

Theorem 3.6. Every subspace of a rw -regular space is rw -regular.

Proof: Let X be a rw -regular space. Let Y be a subspace of X . Let $x \in Y$ and F be a rw -closed set in Y such that $x \notin F$. Then there is a closed set and so rw -closed set A of X with $F = Y \cap A$ and $x \notin A$. Therefore we have $x \in X$, A is rw -closed in X such that $x \notin A$. Since X is rw -regular, there exist open sets G and H such that $x \in G$, $A \subseteq H$ and $G \cap H = \emptyset$. Note that $Y \cap G$ and $Y \cap H$ are open sets in Y . Also $x \in G$ and $x \in Y$, which implies $x \in Y \cap G$ and $A \subseteq H$ implies $Y \cap G \subseteq Y \cap H$, $F \subseteq Y \cap H$. Also $(Y \cap G) \cap (Y \cap H) = \emptyset$. Hence Y is rw -regular space.

We have yet another characterization of rw-regularity in the following.

Theorem 3.7 : The following statements about a topological space X are equivalent:

- (i) X is rw-regular
- (ii) For each $x \in X$ and each rw-open set U in X such that $x \in U$ there exists an open set V in X such that $x \in V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$.
- (iii) For each point $x \in X$ and for each rw-closed set A with $x \notin A$, there exists an open set V containing x such that $\text{cl}(V) \cap A = \emptyset$.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): Follows from Theorem 3.5.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): Suppose (ii) holds. Let $x \in X$ and A be an rw-closed set of X such that $x \notin A$. Then $X - A$ is a rw-open set with $x \in X - A$. By hypothesis, there exists an open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - A$. That is $x \in V$, $V \subseteq \text{cl}(V)$ and $\text{cl}(V) \cap A = \emptyset$.

(iii) \rightarrow (i): Let $x \in X$ and U be an rw-open set in X such that $x \in U$. Then $X - U$ is an rw closed set and $x \notin X - U$. Then by hypothesis, there exists an open set V containing x such that $\text{cl}(V) \cap (X - U) = \emptyset$. Therefore $x \in V$, $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$ so $x \in V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$.

The invariance of rw-regularity is given in the following.

Theorem 3.8: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective, rw-irresolute and open map from a rw-regular space X into a topological space Y , then Y is rw-regular.

Proof: Let $y \in Y$ and F be a rw-closed set in Y with $y \notin F$. Since F is rw-irresolute, $f^{-1}(F)$ is rw-closed set in X . Let $f(x) = y$ so that $x = f^{-1}(y)$ and $x \notin f^{-1}(F)$. Again X is rw-regular space, there exist open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $f^{-1}(F) \subseteq G$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Since f is open and bijective, we have $y \in f(U)$, $F \subseteq f(V)$ and $f(U) \cap f(V) = f(U \cap V) = f(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Hence Y is rw-regular space.

Theorem 3.9. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective, rw-closed and open map from a topological space X into a rw-regular space Y . If X is T_{rw} space, then X is rw-regular.

Proof: Let $x \in X$ and F be an rw-closed set in X with $x \notin F$. Since X is T_{rw} space, F is closed in X . Then $f(F)$ is rw-closed set with $f(x) \notin f(F)$ in Y , since f is rw-closed. As Y is rw-regular, there exist open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $f(x) \in U$ and $f(F) \subseteq V$. Therefore $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ and $F \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Hence X is rw-regular space.

Theorem 3.10. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is w-irresolute, continuous injection and Y is rw-regular space, then X is rw-regular.

Proof: Let F be any closed set in X with $x \notin F$. Since f is w-irresolute, f is rw-closed set in Y and $f(x) \notin f(F)$. Since Y is rw-regular, there exists open sets U and V such that $f(x) \in U$ and $f(F) \subseteq V$. Thus $x \in f^{-1}(U)$, $F \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$ and $f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. Hence X is rw-regular space.

IV. RW-NORMAL SPACES

In this section, we introduce the concept of rwnormal spaces and study some of their characterizations.

Definition 4.1. A topological space X is said to be rw-normal if for each pair of disjoint rw-closed sets A and B in X , there exists a pair of disjoint open sets U and V in X such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$.

We have the following interrelationship.

Theorem 4.2. Every rw-normal space is normal.

Proof: Let X be a rw-normal space. Let A and B be a pair of disjoint closed sets in X . From [2], A and B are rw-closed sets in X . Since X is rw-normal, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets G and H in X such that $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$. Hence X is normal.

Remark 4.3. The converse need not be true in general as seen from the following example.



Example 4.4. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ Then

the space X is normal but not rw -normal, since the pair of disjoint rw -closed sets namely, $A = \{a, d\}$ and $B = \{b, c\}$ for which there do not exist disjoint open sets G and H such that $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq H$.

Remark 4.5. If X is normal and T_{rw} -space, then X is rw -normal. Hereditary property of rw -normality is given in the following.

Theorem 4.6. A rw -closed subspace of a rw -normal space is rw -normal. We have the following characterization.

Theorem 4.7. The following statements for a topological space X are equivalent:

- (i) X is rw -normal
- (ii) For each rw -closed set A and each rw -open set U such that $A \subseteq U$, there exists an open set V such that $A \subseteq V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$
- (iii) For any rw -closed sets A, B , there exists an open set V such that $A \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(V) \cap B = \emptyset$.
- (iv) For each pair A, B of disjoint rw -closed sets there exist open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) = \emptyset$.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): Let A be a rw -closed set and U be a rw -open set such that $A \subseteq U$. Then A and $X - U$ are disjoint rw -closed sets in X . Since X is rw -normal, there exists a pair of disjoint open sets V and W in X such that $A \subseteq V$ and $X - U \subseteq W$. Now $X - W \subseteq X - (X - U)$, so $X - W \subseteq U$ also $V \cap W = \emptyset$ implies $V \subseteq X - W$, so $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq \text{cl}(X - W)$ which implies $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - W$. Therefore $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - W \subseteq U$. So $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$. Hence $A \subseteq V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq U$.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): Let A and B be a pair of disjoint rw -closed sets in X . Now $A \cap B = \emptyset$, so $A \subseteq X - B$, where A is rw -closed and $X - B$ is rw -open. Then by (ii) there exists an open set V such that $A \subseteq V \subseteq \text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - B$. Now $\text{cl}(V) \subseteq X - B$ implies $\text{cl}(V) \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus $A \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(V) \cap B = \emptyset$.

(iii) \rightarrow (iv): Let A and B be a pair of disjoint rw -closed sets in X . Then from (iii) there exists an open set U such that $A \subseteq U$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap B = \emptyset$. Since $\text{cl}(V)$ is closed, so rw -closed set. Therefore $\text{cl}(V)$ and B are disjoint rw -closed sets in X . By hypothesis, there exists an open set V , such that $B \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) = \emptyset$.

(iv) \rightarrow (i): Let A and B be a pair of disjoint rw -closed sets in X . Then from (iv) there exist an open sets U and V in X such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) = \emptyset$. So $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Hence X is rw -normal.

Theorem 4.8. Let X be a topological space. Then X is rw -normal if and only if for any pair A, B of disjoint rw -closed sets there exist open sets U and V of X such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ and $\text{cl}(U) \cap \text{cl}(V) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 4.9. Let X be a topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is normal
- (ii) For any disjoint closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint rw -open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$.
- (iii) For any closed set A and any open set V such that $A \subseteq V$, there exists an rw -open set U of X such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq \alpha\text{cl}(U) \subseteq V$.

Proof:

(i) \rightarrow (ii): Suppose X is normal. Since every open set is rw -open [2], (ii) follows.

(ii) \rightarrow (iii): Suppose (ii) holds. Let A be a closed set and V be an open set containing A . Then A and $X - V$ are disjoint closed sets. By (ii), there exist disjoint rw -open sets U and W such that $A \subseteq U$ and $X - V \subseteq W$, since $X - V$ is closed, so rw -closed. From [2], we have $X - V \subseteq \alpha\text{-int}(W)$ and $U \cap \alpha\text{-int}(W) = \emptyset$. and so we have $\alpha\text{-cl}(U) \cap \alpha\text{-int}(W) = \emptyset$. Hence $A \subseteq U \subseteq \alpha\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq X - \alpha\text{-int}(W) \subseteq V$. Thus $A \subseteq U \subseteq \alpha\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq V$.

(iii) \rightarrow (i): Let A and B be a pair of disjoint closed sets of X . Then $A \subseteq X - B$ and $X - B$ is open. There exists a rw -open set G of X such that $A \subseteq G \subseteq \alpha\text{-cl}(G) \subseteq X - B$. Since A is closed, it is w -closed, we have $A \subseteq \alpha\text{-int}(G)$. Take $U = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\alpha\text{-int}(G))))$ and $V = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(X - \alpha\text{-cl}(G))))$. Then U and V are disjoint open sets of X such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$. Hence X is normal.

We have the following characterization of rw - normality and rw- normality.

Theorem 4.10: Let X be a topological space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) X is α -normal.
- (ii) For any disjoint closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint rw - open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \varnothing$.

Proof:

- (i) \rightarrow (ii): Suppose X is α - normal. Let A and B be a pair of disjoint closed sets of X . Since X is α -normal, there exist disjoint α - open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \varnothing$.
- (ii) \rightarrow (i): Let A and B be a pair of disjoint closed sets of X . The by hypothesis there exist disjoint rw- open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$ and $U \cap V = \varnothing$. Since from [2], $A \subseteq \alpha\text{-int}U$ and $B \subseteq \alpha\text{-int}(V)$ and $\alpha\text{-int}U \cap \alpha\text{-int}V = \varnothing$. Hence X is α -normal.

Theorem 4.11. Let X be a α - normal, then the following hold good:

- (i) For each closed set A and every rw - open set B such that $A \subseteq B$ there exists a α open set U such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq \alpha\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq B$.
- (ii) For every rw-closed set A and every open set B containing A , there exist a α -open set U such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq \alpha\text{-cl}(U) \subseteq B$

V. REFERENCES

- [1] S.P. Arya and T.M. Nour, Characterization of s- normal spaces, Indian. J.Pure and Appl. Math., 21(8),(1990), 717-719.
- [2] R.S.Wali, on some topics in general and fuzzy topological spaces Ph.d thesis Karnatak university dharwad(2007)
- [3] S.S. Benchalli, T.D. Rayanagoudar and P.G. Patil, g^* - Pre Regular and g^* -Pre Normal Spaces, Int. Math. Forum 4/48(2010) 2399-2408.
- [4] S.S. Benchalli and P.G. Patil, Some New Continuous Maps in Topological Spaces, Journal of Advanced Studies in Topology 2/1-2 (2009) 53-63
- [5] R. Devi, Studies on Generalizations of Closed Maps and Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces, Ph.D. thesis, Bharatiyar University, Coimbatore (1994).
- [6] C. Dorsett, Semi normal Spaces, Kyungpook Math. J. 25 (1985) 173-180.
- [7] S.N. Maheshwar and R. Prasad, On s-normal spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci.Math. R.S. Roumanie 22 (1978) 27-28.
- [8] B.M. Munshi, Separation axioms, Acta Ciencia Indica 12 (1986) 140-146.
- [9] T. Noiri and V. Popa, On g-regular spaces and some functions, Mem. Fac. Sci.Kochi Univ. Math 20 (1999)67-74. Journal of New Results in Science 5 (2014) 96-103 103
- [10] R.S.Wali, on some topics in general and fuzzy topological spaces Ph.d thesis Karnatak university dharwad(2007)
- [11] M.S. John, A Study on Generalizations of Closed Sets and Continuous Maps in Topological and Bitopological spaces , Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore (2002).
- [12] R.S.Wali and Vivekananda Dembre; On Pre Generalized Pre Regular Weakly Closed Sets in Topological Spaces ;Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Vol.6(2),113-125, February 2015.

CITE AN ARTICLE

Dembre, V., & Patil, S. N. (n.d.). RW-SEPARATION AXIOMS IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACES. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY*, 7(1), 544-548